United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER O	F DETENTION TRIAL
Santia	go P	edro Garcia, Jr	Case Number:	1:06 Cr 298
acts re	In a quire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
	Part I – Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
		A felony that was committed after the defendant had in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable stated The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since to imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presented this presumption.	e or local offenses. e the defendant wa he⊡date of convidumption that no col	s on release pending trial for a federal, state ction release of the defendant from release of the defendant from
X		Alternate Fine There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten younder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establishment will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant	as committee an of ears or more is pre shed by finding (1)	scribed in the Controlled Substances Act that no condition or combination of conditions
		Alternate Fin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	:	er person or the community.
	l fin	Part II – Written Statement of that the credible testimony and information submitted a		
defendant and counsel waived a detention hearing on the record. Defendant has therefore not rebutted the statutory presumption in favor of detention.				
		Part III – Directions Re	garding Detenti	on
ippeal. he Unit lefenda	ons fa The ted Sa ant to	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney G acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons a defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for tates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the the United States marshal for the purpose of an appeara	eneral or his design waiting or serving some private consultation or person in charge ince in connection or the service of the	nated representative for confinement in a entences or being held in custody pending n with defense counsel. On order of a court of of the corrections facility shall deliver the
June 26, 2007 Date			seph G. Scoville	
Jale		-	ure of Judge oh G. Scoville, Unite	ed States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge